

Center for Assessment & Testing - Florida State University - Report Created:12/22/2010 - Semester/Year: Fall 2010

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Daniel Milton
 Department: Political Science
 Course: INR2002 03 (INTERNATNL RELATIONS)
 Evaluation Format: Paper Course Delivery Format: Classroom-based
 Forms Ordered: 70 Responses: 50 (71%)

Response Scale		Response Scale	
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1	E = Excellent	= 1
A = Agree	= 2	VG = Very Good	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3	G = Good	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4	F = Fair	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5	P = Poor	= 5

Section A Student Information

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	3 6%	26 52%	16 32%	5 10%	0 0%
	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0 0%	4 8%	5 10%	18 37%	22 45%
	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	19 40%	24 50%	4 8%	1 2%	0 0%
	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	33 70%	14 30%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	37 74%	13 26%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	1
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	23 46%	14 28%	11 22%	2 4%	0 0%	50	2	2
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	28 56%	20 40%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	1
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	35 70%	12 24%	3 6%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	40 80%	7 14%	3 6%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	0
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	43 88%	5 10%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	49	1	0
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	43 88%	5 10%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	49	1	0
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	37 76%	10 20%	1 2%	1 2%	0 0%	49	1	.5
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	34 71%	13 27%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	1

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	25 51%	16 33%	8 16%	0 0%	0 0%	49	1	1
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	34 72%	12 26%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	47	1	1
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	41 82%	8 16%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	0
13. Students were able to get individual help.	34 68%	12 24%	4 8%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	1
Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	33 66%	16 32%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	40 80%	8 16%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	0
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	39 80%	8 16%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	49	1	0
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	29 58%	17 34%	4 8%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	1
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	35 70%	12 24%	3 6%	0 0%	0 0%	50	1	1
Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	26 54%	16 33%	6 12%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	31 65%	13 27%	4 8%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	1
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	33 69%	11 23%	4 8%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	35 73%	9 19%	4 8%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	1
5. Respect and concern for students	34 71%	11 23%	3 6%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	1
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	34 71%	11 23%	3 6%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	1
7. Facilitation of learning.	35 73%	8 17%	5 10%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	1
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	38 79%	8 17%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	48	1	0

(No responses for other sections.)