Center for Assessment & Testing - Florida State University - Report Created:08/28/2009 - Semester/Year: Summer C 2009

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Daniel Milton
Department: Political Science
Course: INR2002 04 (INTERNATNL RELATIONS)
Evaluation Format: Paper   Course Delivery Format: Classroom-based
Forms Ordered: 65   Responses: 33 (51%)

Response Scale	
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1
A = Agree	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5

Response Scale	
E = Excellent	= 1
VG = Very Good	= 2
G = Good	= 3
F = Fair	= 4
P = Poor	= 5

Section A Student Information

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	21	1	6	3	0
	68%	3%	19%	10%	0%

	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0	0	6	7	12
	0%	0%	24%	28%	48%

	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	20	10	1	0	0
	65%	32%	3%	0%	0%

	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	15	15			
	50%	50%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	21 64%	11 33%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%	33	1	1
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	17 52%	12 36%	4 12%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	13 39%	14 42%	6 18%	0 0%	0 0%	33	2	1
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	19 58%	11 33%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	29 88%	3 9%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	26 79%	7 21%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	26 79%	6 18%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	23 70%	9 27%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	18 56%	13 41%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	21 66%	8 25%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	25 83%	4 13%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%	30	1	0
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	28 88%	3 9%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	0
13. Students were able to get individual help.	28 90%	3 10%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	31	1	0

Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	23 70%	7 21%	2 6%	0 0%	1 3%	33	1	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	23 72%	9 28%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	23 70%	9 27%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1

	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	16 48%	11 33%	5 15%	1 3%	0 0%	33	2	1
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	23 70%	7 21%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1

Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)

	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	17 52%	12 36%	4 12%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	23 70%	7 21%	3 9%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	23 70%	9 27%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	28 85%	4 12%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
5. Respect and concern for students	29 88%	3 9%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	22 69%	9 28%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1
7. Facilitation of learning.	24 73%	8 24%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	1
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	26 79%	6 18%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	33	1	0

(No responses for other sections.)