

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Daniel Milton
 Department: Political Science
 Course: INR3084 01 (TERROR AND POLITICS)
 Evaluation Format: Paper Course Delivery Format: Classroom-based
 Forms Ordered: 50 Responses: 33 (66%)

Response Scale		Response Scale	
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1	E = Excellent	= 1
A = Agree	= 2	VG = Very Good	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3	G = Good	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4	F = Fair	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5	P = Poor	= 5

Section A Student Information

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	0 0%	1 3%	7 22%	24 75%	0 0%
	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0 0%	2 6%	11 34%	13 41%	6 19%
	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	7 22%	21 66%	4 12%	0 0%	0 0%
	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	15 54%	13 46%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	25 78%	7 22%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	0
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	18 56%	11 34%	1 3%	1 3%	1 3%	32	1	1
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	16 52%	12 39%	3 10%	0 0%	0 0%	31	1	1
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	20 61%	12 36%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	33	1	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	22 71%	6 19%	3 10%	0 0%	0 0%	31	1	1
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	25 78%	7 22%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	0
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	26 81%	6 19%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	0
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	20 62%	12 38%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	15 50%	14 47%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	30	1.5	1

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	15 52%	7 24%	5 17%	1 3%	1 3%	29	1	1.5
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	20 69%	9 31%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	29	1	1
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	22 85%	4 15%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	26	1	0
13. Students were able to get individual help.	18 64%	8 29%	2 7%	0 0%	0 0%	28	1	1
Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	23 72%	8 25%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	32	1	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	22 67%	9 27%	1 3%	0 0%	1 3%	33	1	1
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	20 65%	8 26%	2 6%	0 0%	1 3%	31	1	1
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	17 52%	12 36%	3 9%	0 0%	1 3%	33	1	1
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	18 56%	12 38%	1 3%	0 0%	1 3%	32	1	1
Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	16 50%	13 41%	2 6%	1 3%	0 0%	32	1.5	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	17 55%	13 42%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%	31	1	1
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	21 68%	8 26%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	31	1	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	16 53%	13 43%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	30	1	1
5. Respect and concern for students	20 69%	8 28%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%	29	1	1
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	15 52%	12 41%	2 7%	0 0%	0 0%	29	1	1
7. Facilitation of learning.	14 48%	13 45%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	29	2	1
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	17 57%	12 40%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	30	1	1

(No responses for other sections.)