Center for Assessment & Testing - Florida State University - Report Created:05/09/2011 - Semester/Year: Spring 2011

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Daniel Milton
Department: Political Science
Course: INR3933 03 (NATL SECURITY POLICY)
Evaluation Format: Paper   Course Delivery Format: Classroom-based
Forms Ordered: 67   Responses: 47 (70%)

Response Scale		
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1
A = Agree	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5

Response Scale		
E = Excellent	= 1
VG = Very Good	= 2
G = Good	= 3
F = Fair	= 4
P = Poor	= 5

Section A Student Information

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	1	7	15	22	0
	2%	16%	33%	49%	0%

	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0	3	4	12	27
	0%	7%	9%	26%	59%

	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	30	15	0	0	0
	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%

	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	23	22			
	51%	49%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	36 / 77%	9 / 19%	1 / 2%	0 / 0%	1 / 2%	47	1	0
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	19 / 40%	13 / 28%	13 / 28%	1 / 2%	1 / 2%	47	2	2
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	28 / 61%	16 / 35%	1 / 2%	0 / 0%	1 / 2%	46	1	1
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	31 / 67%	14 / 30%	0 / 0%	1 / 2%	0 / 0%	46	1	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	36 / 78%	9 / 20%	1 / 2%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	46	1	0
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	39 / 85%	7 / 15%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	46	1	0
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	39 / 83%	7 / 15%	1 / 2%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	47	1	0
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	32 / 70%	12 / 26%	2 / 4%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	46	1	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	35 / 76%	10 / 22%	1 / 2%	0 / 0%	0 / 0%	46	1	0

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	36 78%	8 17%	1 2%	1 2%	0 0%	46	1	0
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	35 76%	10 22%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	46	1	0
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	41 89%	5 11%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	46	1	0
13. Students were able to get individual help.	41 91%	4 9%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	45	1	0

Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	32 70%	13 28%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	46	1	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	35 76%	9 20%	1 2%	0 0%	1 2%	46	1	0
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	36 78%	8 17%	1 2%	0 0%	1 2%	46	1	0

	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	28 61%	15 33%	2 4%	0 0%	1 2%	46	1	1
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	32 70%	12 26%	1 2%	0 0%	1 2%	46	1	1

Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)

	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	26 58%	15 33%	3 7%	1 2%	0 0%	45	1	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	29 64%	14 31%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	45	1	1
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	31 69%	12 27%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%	45	1	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	39 87%	5 11%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	45	1	0
5. Respect and concern for students	37 82%	7 16%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	45	1	0
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	33 75%	10 23%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	44	1	.5
7. Facilitation of learning.	32 70%	11 24%	1 2%	1 2%	1 2%	46	1	1
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	37 80%	8 17%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	46	1	0

(No responses for other sections.)