

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Daniel Milton
 Department: Political Science
 Course: INR4102 01 (AMERICAN FOREIGN POL)
 Evaluation Format: Paper Course Delivery Format: Classroom-based
 Forms Ordered: 52 Responses: 36 (69%)

Response Scale		Response Scale	
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1	E = Excellent	= 1
A = Agree	= 2	VG = Very Good	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3	G = Good	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4	F = Fair	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5	P = Poor	= 5

Section A Student Information

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	0 0%	0 0%	13 37%	22 63%	0 0%
	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0 0%	1 3%	8 22%	13 36%	14 39%
	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	13 38%	16 47%	5 15%	0 0%	0 0%
	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	19 56%	15 44%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	23 64%	11 31%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	36	1	1
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	21 58%	11 31%	3 8%	1 3%	0 0%	36	1	1
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	19 54%	14 40%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	1
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	25 71%	10 29%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	24 69%	10 29%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	1
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	25 71%	10 29%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	1
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	27 77%	7 20%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	0
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	25 71%	8 23%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	35	1	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	25 71%	8 23%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	1

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	20 59%	9 26%	5 15%	0 0%	0 0%	34	1	1
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	23 66%	10 29%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	1
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	29 83%	5 14%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	0
13. Students were able to get individual help.	27 77%	8 23%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	35	1	0
Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	22 61%	13 36%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	36	1	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	27 77%	5 14%	2 6%	1 3%	0 0%	35	1	0
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	25 71%	7 20%	2 6%	1 3%	0 0%	35	1	1
	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	21 58%	10 28%	3 8%	2 6%	0 0%	36	1	1
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	25 71%	6 17%	3 9%	1 3%	0 0%	35	1	1
Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	23 64%	9 25%	3 8%	1 3%	0 0%	36	1	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	24 69%	8 23%	2 6%	1 3%	0 0%	35	1	1
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	23 66%	10 29%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	35	1	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	26 74%	6 17%	2 6%	1 3%	0 0%	35	1	1
5. Respect and concern for students	28 80%	5 14%	1 3%	1 3%	0 0%	35	1	0
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	25 71%	7 20%	1 3%	2 6%	0 0%	35	1	1
7. Facilitation of learning.	24 71%	7 21%	2 6%	1 3%	0 0%	34	1	1
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	27 75%	5 14%	3 8%	1 3%	0 0%	36	1	.5

(No responses for other sections.)