

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Daniel Milton
 Department: Political Science
 Course: POS3713 01 (POLTCL SCIENCE RSCH)
 Evaluation Format: Paper Course Delivery Format: Classroom-based
 Forms Ordered: 30 Responses: 20 (67%)

Response Scale		Response Scale	
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1	E = Excellent	= 1
A = Agree	= 2	VG = Very Good	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3	G = Good	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4	F = Fair	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5	P = Poor	= 5

Section A Student Information

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	0 0%	3 15%	8 40%	9 45%	0 0%
	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0 0%	1 5%	5 26%	10 53%	3 16%
	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	5 25%	10 50%	5 25%	0 0%	0 0%
	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	18 95%	1 5%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	12 60%	6 30%	1 5%	1 5%	0 0%	20	1	1
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	10 50%	3 15%	2 10%	5 25%	0 0%	20	1.5	2.5
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	7 35%	8 40%	4 20%	1 5%	0 0%	20	2	1.5
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	12 63%	5 26%	2 11%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	12 63%	6 32%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	1
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	12 63%	7 37%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	1
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	14 70%	5 25%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	20	1	1
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	11 58%	6 32%	2 11%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	12 60%	7 35%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	20	1	1

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	11 55%	9 45%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	20	1	1
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	13 68%	6 32%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	1
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	14 74%	4 21%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	1
13. Students were able to get individual help.	15 79%	4 21%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	0

Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	9 45%	7 35%	3 15%	1 5%	0 0%	20	2	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	13 68%	2 11%	3 16%	1 5%	0 0%	19	1	1
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	14 70%	3 15%	2 10%	1 5%	0 0%	20	1	1
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	E 4 20%	VG 8 40%	G 6 30%	F 2 10%	P 0 0%	(N) 20	Median 2	IQR 1
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	13 65%	2 10%	4 20%	1 5%	0 0%	20	1	1.5

Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	8 40%	8 40%	4 20%	0 0%	0 0%	20	2	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	8 40%	7 35%	5 25%	0 0%	0 0%	20	2	1.5
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	12 60%	4 20%	4 20%	0 0%	0 0%	20	1	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	12 60%	4 20%	4 20%	0 0%	0 0%	20	1	1
5. Respect and concern for students	15 79%	2 11%	2 11%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	0
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	14 70%	2 10%	3 15%	1 5%	0 0%	20	1	1
7. Facilitation of learning.	11 58%	3 16%	5 26%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	2
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	13 68%	1 5%	5 26%	0 0%	0 0%	19	1	2

(No responses for other sections.)